

Exploring Factors Influencing Residents' Adoption of Pollinator-Friendly Gardening Practices

Cody Gusto, Colby Silvert, Dr. Laura Warner, Dr. John Diaz
Grant Project PI: Dr. Rachel Mallinger

For more information, please contact Cody Gusto at cgusto@ufl.edu

Background

- The process of urbanization drives significant land-use changes, which frequently contributes to floral resource losses (i.e., reduced flower abundance, diversity, quality, or temporal availability)
 - *Reduced floral resources may result in lower fitness for both wild bee and honeybee populations, homogenized bee communities, and reduced bee immunity (Timberlake et al., 2019; Goulson et al., 2015)*
- The direction of ecological impact from developed landscapes is not a foregone conclusion
 - *Emerging evidence suggests that developed, urban habitats can be more supportive of pollinator richness than less developed, rural habitats (Theodorou et al., 2020)*
- In addition to a greater understanding of landscape design, management, and other local-scale factors, there is a need to better understand Florida residents' attitudes and perceptions towards pollinator gardening barriers to maximize impact

Purpose

- Objective 3 in a multi-objective NIFA grant project to evaluate factors (both ecological and socio-economic) shaping pollinator conservation outcomes
- The primary goal of this study was to explore socio-economic barriers and salient strategies/solutions related the enhancement of pollinator gardening



Methods

- Elicitation phase of a two-phased examination
- Focus group recruitment facilitated through social media, with posts shared on county Extension channels and other FB pages
 - *Posts included an online screening questionnaire to ensure inclusion criteria met and to segment participants by gardening experience/interest*
 - *\$50 Visa gift card incentives*
- Three virtual focus group sessions facilitated with 20 residents from a pool of +1,300 across three FL counties (Hillsborough, Leon, Marion)
- Thematic analysis conducted within NVivo
- Analyst triangulation, peer debriefing, and audit trail reporting used to improve trustworthiness of findings (Lincoln & Guba, 1985)

References

- Goulson, D., Nicholls, E., Botias, C., & Rotheray, E. L. (2015). Bee declines driven by combined stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. *Science*, 347(6229), 1255957
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. sage.
- Theodorou, P., Radzevičiūtė, R., Lentendu, G., Kahnt, B., Husemann, M., Bleidorn, C., ... & Paxton, R. J. (2020). Urban areas as hotspots for bees and pollination but not a panacea for all insects. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 1-13.
- Timberlake, T. P., Vaughan, I. P., & Memmott, J. (2019). Phenology of farmland floral resources reveals seasonal gaps in nectar availability for bumblebees. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 56(7), 1585-1596.

Results

- Core barriers related to:
 - Limited knowledge/information access
 - Social norms (neighbor/HOA perceptions)
 - Upfront financial investment(s)
 - Time and labor burden(s)
 - Trial and error capacity
 - Yard characteristics (e.g., limited space/excessive Shade)
- Core strategies/solutions related to:
 - Developing neighborhood/HOA buy-in/support
 - Pre-installation research/planning
 - Information sourcing/skill building
 - Sourcing seeds/plants
- Focus group findings triangulated with rapid literature review evidence to generate final list of salient themes

Conclusions & Next Steps

- Overall, participants across gardening experience and interest categories **strongly support** pollinator gardening practices
- **Social norms** (e.g., HOA ordinances), **time/knowledge requirements**, and **financial cost** perceived as significant barriers
- Agreement on solutions that build upon existing practices, experiences, and examples to potentially scale up
- A survey informed by these themes was developed and disseminated. Analysis of these results is currently underway

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Dr. Rachel Mallinger (PI), Dr. Gary Knox (co-PI), and the rest of the research project team for their helpful input. Special thanks to Amanda Marek, Mark Tancig, Rachel Mathes, Nicole Pinson, Wayne Hobbs, Jessica Sullivan, and more for their help with participant recruitment and instrument review.